

Appln. No. 09/905,716

Amendment Date: October 28, 2005

Reply to Office Action of June 28, 2005

Page 4

REMARKS

In order to avoid the objection of the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated November 9, 2005, Applicant's Attorney hereby submits a complete listing of all eight claims in this case along with their respective disposition during prosecution herein. In this connection, Claims 1 and 3 are currently amended and claims 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 are cancelled.

The Examiner has rejected the claims based upon 35 USC 112, first paragraph, second paragraph, 35 USC 103(a), and 35 USC 102(b) relating to enabling, lack of support in the specification, obviousness and anticipation. Applicant has addressed these matters and believes the current amendments clearly recite new and unobvious structure based upon specification disclosures so as to enable and support practice of the invention, and is neither taught nor made obvious by McCoy '268. All of McCoy's output channels have the same bandwidth and sample rate. The present invention output channels have different bandwith and sample rate.

Appln. No. 09/905,716
Amendment Date:December 4, 2005
Reply to Office Action of November 9, 2005
Page 5

Applicant respectfully contends the present amendments place this case in proper order for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner's reconsideration and early allowance are respectfully solicited.

Applicant's Attorney may be reached at 847/272-3182, or 847/272-3176, or FAX 847/272-5424, if the need arises for any further discussion in connection with the matters at hand.

Respectfully submitted,

FREDRIC JOEL HARRIS



Robert A. Brown, Reg. No. 26,149
P. O. Box 2127
Northbrook, Illinois 60065-2127

By FAX: 571-273-8300 - 6 Pages total including Transmittal Form

Dec 04 05 03:12p

Robert Brown

1-847-272-5424

p.7

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)